(BS) INSTITUTE OF INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONALS

A wholly owned subsidiary of ICSI and registered with IBBI (Formerly known as ICSI Insolvency Professionals Agency)

KNOWLEDGE REPONERE (16th February- 22nd February, 2019)

Dear Professional Members,

Greetings!

We are pleased to share with you our next issue of the knowledge bulletin on the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (**"Code"**).

PAST EVENTS

Interactive Session and Networking for Insolvency Professionals & Other Professionals was organized on 20th Feb, 2019 by ICSI IIP.



An Interactive Session and Networking for Insolvency Professionals & Other Professionals was organized by ICSI IIP on February 20, 2019 to deliberate on various issues faced by IPs. The Interactive Session covered issues such as age limit for Graduate Insolvency Program, treatment of contingent liabilities during CIRP, fixing of IP fees, due diligence

to be performed by an IP, support extended to an IP from other Revenue/Government Authorities etc. The Session, besides offering solutions to the challenges faced by Insolvency Professionals, also provided clarity on the role of Resolution Professionals in the whole process.

UPCOMING EVENTS

 IBC- A game changer for the Bankers: An Interactive Session on March 05, 2019

Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India and ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals (ICSI IIP) jointly proposes to organise a half-day session for the Bankers on the subject **'IBC- A game changer for the Bankers'**, at the Conference Hall, 5th Floor, <u>Andhra Association</u>, 24-25, Lodhi Institutional Area, <u>New Delhi on March 5, 2019 from 3.00pm to 6.00pm</u>.

IBBI ORDER

IBBI in its order dated 21st February,2019 cancelled the registration and debarred an Insolvency Professional from applying for a fresh registration for the next ten years as the professional has repeated the same contravention in CIRPs of 15 CDs covered in the SCN issued by IBBI and in conspiracy with her husband. Further the Insolvency Professional has seriously compromised her independence, impartiality and integrity. Insolvency Professional consented to take up 15 assignments simultaneously, much beyond her capacity, putting the life of 15 CDs at risk. Therefore has contravened provisions of sections 17, 20, 23 and 208(2)(a) and (e) of the Code, regulations 7(2)(a), (b) and (h) of the IBBI (Insolvency Professionals) Regulations, 2016 and clauses 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 22, 25, and 27 of the Code of Conduct specified thereunder.

The link to read the full order is as follow:

https://ibbi.gov.in//webadmin/pdf/whatsnew/2019/Feb/Order%20dated%2021-02-2019%20in%20the%20matter%20of%20Bhavna%20Sanjay%20Ruia.-1 2019-02-21%2017:43:03.pdf

ADMITTED CASES

Cases under the Code are being filed expeditiously across the various benches of National Company Law Tribunal ("**NCLT**"). The newly admitted cases with regard to CIRP under the Code are as below:

S. No.	Case Title	Relevant Section	NCLT Bench	Amount default mentioned application	in as in
-----------	------------	---------------------	------------	---	----------------

				(in Rupees)
1.	In the matter of Maharashtra Vidhyut Nigam Private Limited	Code dealing	Mumbai	94.95 Crore
2.	In the matter of IDV Technology Solutions Private Limited	Section 7 of the Code dealing with the initiation of CIRP by financial creditor.	Principal Bench	67 Lakh
3.	In the matter of Delhi Control Deveices Private Limited	Section 9 of the Code dealing with the initiation of CIRP by operational creditor.	Principal Bench	12.19 Lakh

LIST OF COMPANIES THAT HAVE RECENTLY UNDERGONE LIQUIDATION

S. No	Case Title	Bench	Date of Order
1.	In the matter of Sadhbhawana Impex Pvt. Ltd.	Chandigarh	13.02.2019
2.	In the matter of Global Coke Limited	Kolkata	19.02.2019

LIST OF COMPANIES THAT HAVE RECENTLY UNDERGONE RESOLUTION

S. No	Case Title	Bench	Date of Order
1.	In the matter of Swadisht Oils Pvt. Ltd.	Allahabad	13.02.2019

BRIEF OF JUDGEMENTS

S.	Case	Date of	Courts	Brief	Case link
No.	Details	Order			
1.	Shailesh Sangani v. Joel Cardoso	30.01.201	NCLAT	NCLAT held that the money advanced by a Promoter, Director or a Shareholder of the Corporate Debtor as a stakeholder to improve financial health of the Company would have the commercial effect of borrowing on the Corporate Debtor notwithstanding the fact that no provision is made for interest. Thus, funds raised in such situation may be treated as Long Term Borrowings and therefore considered as financial debt under the Code. Appellate Tribunal in consent with the order passed by NCLT Mumbai Bench dismissed the appeal filed by the Corporate Debtor on the ground that	nic.in/Userad min/upload/ 2029439245

				can be treated as 'Financial Creditor.'	
2.	Sanjay Kumar Ruia v. Catholic Syrian Bank Ltd. & Anr	03.01.201 9	NCLAT	In the present case, the application was filed under Section 9 of the 'I & B Code' and not under Section 55. Therefore it was held that the 'Fast Track Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process' is different from 'Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process' against such 'Corporate Debtors(s)' as may be notified by the Central Government in terms of clauses (a), (b) & (c) of Section 55(2). It was held that the Adjudicating Authority had no jurisdiction to proceed with the 'Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process' beyond the period of 270 days and it cannot wrongly exercise it's power under sub- section	ov.in/webad min/pdf/orde r/2019/Feb/3 rd%20Jan% 202019%20I n%20the%2 0matter%20 of%20Sanjay %20Kumar% 20Ruia%20v s%20Catholi c%20Syrian %20Bank%2 0Ltd%20%5 bCA(AT)(Ins olvency)560- 2018%5d 2 019-02- 13%2010:43

(2) of Section 55 of the 'I & B Code.
Regulation 34 makes
it clear that the
'Committee of
Creditors' shall
design the
`Insolvency
Resolution Process
Costs' which includes
the expenses
incurred on or by the
`Resolution
Professional'. After
Adjudicating
Authority approves
the 'Resolution Plan'
under Section 31,
the `Resolution
Professional' is
entitled to know the
actual expenses
allowed as approved
by the 'Committee of
Creditors' and the
Adjudicating
Authority.
In the present case
,Appellate Tribunal
held that the
Adjudicating
Authority has passed
no order under
Section 31 or Section
33 of the `I & B Code'
therefore, the
Adjudicating

			Authority has no jurisdiction to decide the resolution cost including the fee of the 'Resolution Professional'.	
3. Sham La Ramboll India Ltd.	al v. 14.02.201 9 Pvt.	NCLAT	NCLAT in the matterwhereintheAppellant is preparedto settle the matterwith the OperationalCreditor.SinceInterimResolutionProfessional is statedto have been issuedpublicannouncement, inthe meanwhile the IPwill keep it as a goingconcern.NCLAT heldthatthatthebe allowed to beoperated for day-to-day functioning ofthe company such asforpaymentofcurrentbillsof thesuppliers, salariesand wages of theemployees'/workmen, electricity bills etc.'Interim'InterimResolutionProfessional'willensurethatthecompanyremainsgoingconcernandwill takeassistanceofthe(suspended)	ov.in/webad min/pdf/orde r/2019/Feb/ NCLAT%20In terimn%200 rder%20Sha m%20lal%2 0vs%20Ram boll%20India %20Pvt.%20 Ltd.%20%5b CA(AT)(Insol vency)%201 48- 2019%5d 2 019-02- 15%2013:57

				Board of Directors. The persons who are working will perform their duties including the paid Directors. The person who is authorised to sign the bank cheques may issue cheques only after authorisation of the 'Interim Resolution Professional'.	
4.	Shalini Publicity Creative Pvt. Ltd. v. Dena Bank	18.02.201	NCLAT	The Adjudicating Authority took note of the fact that the One Time Settlement (OTS) proposal made by the Corporate Debtor had been rejected by the Financial Creditor and that the 'debt' and 'default' was established. AA proceeded to admit the application thereby initiating Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process against the Corporate Debtor. The AA held that on one hand the Appellant was seeking restructuring of Ioan in terms of RBI Guidelines seeking more time for One	min/pdf/order/2019/Feb/18th%20Feb%202019%20In%20the%20matter%20of%20Shalini%20Publicity%20Creative%20Pvt.%20Ltd.%20vs

				Time Settlement (OTS) but on the other hand alleges fabrication and manipulation. What prompted the Corporate Debtor to seek restructuring of loan through One Time Settlement is explainable on no hypothesis other than the one that the Corporate Debtor had committed default qua the outstanding amount which was payable. For the aforesaid reason, AA dismissed the application.	
5.	Nagarjuna Oil Corporation(MAN Diesel and Turbo v. Rajendran Shanmagun)	21.01.201 9	NCLT, Chennai	In the order for liquidation for Nagarjuna Oil Corporation (respondent) has been passed. However, AA observed that respondent's equipment is lying and is in the custody of the Applicant (German Company). AA held that disposal of equipment is essential and allowed the applicant to sell the equipment as per laws in	min/pdf/orde r/2019/Jan/2 1st%20Jan% 202019%20i n%20the%2 0matter%20 of%20Nagarj una%20Oil% 20Corporaito n%20Ltd.%2 0MA-17- 2018%20In %20TCP-10- 2017_2019- 01-

				Germany. The amount received in the sale is to be adjusted with the claim amount of the Applicant after deducting cost incurred to hold the sale. AA ordered that the proof of sale and supporting documents are to be submitted to the Liquidator. If the sale amount exceeds the sale amount then the excess amount is to be submitted to the Liquidator who will allot it as per waterfall mechanism under the Code. AA held that permission given to applicant to conduct the sale is given because of peculiar facts of the case and the same practice will not be allowed in future matters.	
6.	Vinergy International Pvt. Ltd	08.02.19	NCLT, Mumbai	Initially the Petitioner filed petition u/s 7, IBC claiming himself to be a Financial Creditor, but on perusal of the records it appeared that the Petitioner had given notice u/s	<u>nal-orders-</u> pdf/CP%203 006%20- %202018%2 0Sanaya%20 Tea%20Priva

7. Asset 18.02.201 NCLT, The Resolution as operational debt. petitione as the petitioner has sent a demand notice treating it as financial debt. Petitione has sent a demand notice treating it as financial debt. Petitione has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as the petitioner has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as financial debt. Petitione has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as the petitioner has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as financial debt. Petitione has not financial debt. Petitioner has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as the petitioner has sent a demand notice treating the debt in question as financial debt. Petition being collusive stands dismissed. 7. Asset 18.02.201 NCLT, The Resolution professional reported that the ex- https://ibbi.g 9 Mumbai professional reported that the ex- min/pdf/orde
(India)Pvt.director abused ther/2019/Feb/1Ltd.V/SRP while she was8th%20Feb

Treaters Pvt.	duties as Court 20mat	ter%2
Ltd.	officer. <u>0of%2</u>	<u>0Shiva</u>
	<u>m%20</u>	Water
	NCLI, Mumbai %20Tr	
	observed that RP is %20P	
	an officer of the Ltd.%	
	court and non- %20No	
	cooperation with him 882(M	
	would amount to 2018	
	contempt of the 02-	2015
	court. $\frac{02}{21\%20}$	15.47
		1
	Corporation of	
	Ahmedabad was also	
	directed to	
	immediately open	
	the seal and hand	
	over the possession	
	of the entire	
	property of the	
	Corporate Debtor to	
	the Resolution	
	Professional.	
	Directions were also	
	given to RP that the	
	company should	
	remain as a going	
	concern so that the	
	manufacturing and	
	production of the	
	company do not	
	suffer, payment of	
	wages to the	
	employees/	
	workmen are made	
	on time and if any	
	material is supplied	
	during the corporate	
	resolution process. It	
	was further stated in	
	the order that IRP	

				will take the aid of suspended Board of Directors. The bank has an account of the Corporate Debtor will also co-operate with the IRP to ensure compliance.	
8.	Associated Cylinders and Accessories Private Limited	18.02.201	NCLT, Chennai	Liquidator had filed this application for seeking direction for the Electricity Department for providing temporary connection to supply electricity to the factory of Corporate Debtor for securing property of the CD and to perform his duties. The Electricity Department was directed to supply temporary connection for two weeks for which liquidator would make monthly payments along with Rs. 5000 in advance.	https://ibbi.g ov.in//webad min/pdf/orde r/2019/Feb/1 8th%20Feb %202019%2 0in%20the% 20matter%2 0of%20Asso ciated%20Cy linders%20& %20Accessor ies%20Pvt.% 20Ltd.%20M A-657- 2018%20In %20CP-607- IB- 2018_2019- 02- 20%2011:54 :55.pdf

We trust you will find this issue of our bulletin useful and informative.

Wish you good luck in all your endeavors!!

Team ICSI IIP

Disclaimer Although due care and diligence has been taken in the production of this Knowledge Reponere, the ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals shall not be responsible for any loss or damage, resulting from any action taken on the basis of the contents of this Knowledge Reponere. Anyone wishing to act on the basis of the material contained herein should do so after cross checking with the original source.